

# The Literature Search Process: Protocols for Researchers

Developed by South Central Healthcare Librarians\*

Version: 2.0

Issue date: March 2007

Review date: March 2008

#### Introduction

This document has been developed by health librarians in close partnership with the Thames Valley Research & Development Network. Its aim is to support healthcare researchers in carrying out effective literature searches according to an agreed set of recommendations. This will help ensure that research is not duplicated and that literature searches retrieve the best available evidence.

This document provides guidance for the following key steps:

- planning a literature search
- identifying key sources of information
- guidance in carrying out an effective literature search
- documenting the search process

Structured guidance and a checklist column are provided for each section so that researchers can quickly identify and tick off the necessary elements. Your local healthcare librarian will be able to help you to work through this document and offer professional advice and support in carrying out your literature search. To find out the contact details for your local healthcare library, please visit <a href="http://www.library.nhs.uk/mylibrary">http://www.library.nhs.uk/mylibrary</a>

This work builds on previous international work in this area, which is credited in the bibliography.

This is a working document that will evolve to meet the needs of the local research community; therefore your feedback is of the utmost importance. A feedback form for comments and suggestions is provided at the end of the document.

If you would like to discuss the contents of this document further, please contact:

Sarah Lewis, Clinical Librarian, Ravenswood House and Royal South Hants Hospital. Tel: 0118 9605016, email: <u>Sarah.Lewis@hantspt-sw.nhs.uk</u>

Nia Wyn Roberts, Librarian, Oxford University Health Care Libraries. Tel 01865 289409, email: <u>nia.roberts@hcl.ox.ac.uk</u>

\*Past and present members of the group who have contributed to this work: Chrissy Allott, Liz Callow, Richard Comley, Jill Duncan, Lucy Gilham, Eve Hollis, Marie Hickman, Beverly Hixon, Jo Hunter, Belinda Hylton, Jennie Kelson, Fran Lamusse, Carol Lefebvre, Sarah Lewis, Gill McGlashan, Fiona MacLean, Lesley Martyn, Kath Osborn, Rowena Perry, Tatjana Petrinic, Nia Roberts, Laura Strafford, Elaine Watson, Helen Williams.

With grateful acknowledgements to: Claire Cheong-Leen, Steve Fairman, Funmi Fajemisin, Geoff Fleet, Annette Hackett, Jessica Thomas, Val Trinder and delegates of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Thames Valley R&D Network Workshop, Oxford, 15<sup>th</sup> July 2004.

## **CONTENTS**

		Page			
Search Planni	ing Form	3			
Guidance Not	Guidance Notes for Use with Search Planning Form				
Literature Sea	arch Protocols				
Section 1.	Resource checklist				
	Level 1: Core resources	5			
	a) Minimum core resources	5			
	b) Other core resources	6			
	Level 2: Recommended resources	6			
	Level 3: Additional resources	6			
Section 2.	Search strategy checklist				
	a) Range of search terms	7			
	b) Database subject headings	7			
	c) Search techniques	8			
Section 3.	Search documentation checklist	9			
Feedback forr	n	10			
Appendices –	see separate document				
1. Bibliogra	aphy	i			
2. Guide to sources of information					

## **Search Planning Form**

Use this form to identify/clarify the key concepts and the scope of your research topic. For each section, refer to the **guidance notes** on the following page for further explanation.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date search started: \_\_\_\_\_ Date search completed: \_\_\_\_\_

#### 1. Your Research Topic

#### 2. Consider how the following four categories apply to your research topic

Patient/Population and/or Problem	Intervention	Comparison/Control (if applicable)	Outcomes (or Effects)
	Alternative	Mordo	
	Alternative	words	

#### 3. Your Research Question

#### 4. Any Search Restrictions

#### **Guidance notes for use with Search Planning Form**

1. Your Research Topic – briefly describe in your own words the key aspects of your research topic

**2. Consider how the following four categories apply to your research topic**: **P**atient/population and/or problem, Intervention, **C**omparison and **O**utcome. These categories form the PICO model (Richardson, 1995), an evidence-based model for formulating a clinical question. By dividing your concepts into these categories, you will also be doing the groundwork for developing a search strategy. If the PICO model is not appropriate for your topic, there are alternatives, such as ECLIPSE (Wildridge, 2002), or you may like to adapt the PICO headings to fit the type of research you are undertaking. Your librarian may be able to advise you further.

- **Patient/Population and/or Problem** any characteristics that define your patient or population, e.g. target clinical condition, co-existing condition, ethnicity, age group
- Intervention what you want to do with the patient/population/problem e.g. form of treatment, diagnostic test, education programme, type of service delivery. This can also include any exposures (e.g. asbestos) or factors influencing prognosis.
- **Comparison/Control Intervention** (if applicable) alternative(s) to main intervention, e.g. placebo
- **Outcomes or effects** any outcomes or effects relating to the intervention e.g. prevention, side effects, morbidity, quality of life, cost-effectiveness

When filling in each category, consider any alternative keywords that could be used, taking into account differences in terminology, spelling etc - *refer to the guidance notes in section 2a of the checklist (page 7) for more information*.

Patient/Population and/or Problem		l	Intervention		Comparison/Control			Outcome (or effects)					
			AN	ID			ANI	(if a	applica	ble) AN	ID		
acute stro	ke	_		bloc	od pressu	re reduction		no treat	tment		secondar	y prever	ntion
	OR↓				OR↓	Alternat	ive W	/ords	OR↓			OR↓	
cerebrovascular accident cerebrovascular event ischaemic stroke		hyp diur	ertension etics, ater	sive agents - drug thera nolol etc d pressure		placebo	)	1	secondar reduce m risk reduc	ortality	ı ılaxis		

**AND/OR** - When searching bibliographical databases such as MEDLINE, similar concepts (i.e. terms in the *same* column) are combined with OR e.g. blood pressure OR hypertension. You may need to create a separate column if there is more than one concept associated with any of the PICO headings. Different concepts (i.e. terms in *separate* columns) are combined with AND, e.g. stroke AND blood pressure reduction

**3. Your Research Question** – your research topic phrased as a question, incorporating the elements identified in the PICO (or similar) model. *Example*: in people with a prior history of stroke, is blood pressure reduction more effective than no treatment in preventing future stroke events?

**4.** Any Search Restrictions – anything related to your topic that you wish to exclude. To avoid bias, use generic limitations only where strictly necessary e.g. avoid restrictions such as limiting to UK studies only, studies published since 2000, or English language only.

### The Literature Search Process: Protocols for Researchers

 Resource Checklist: Please note: this list is not exhaustive – your librarian can advise on other relevant resources for your research topic. Refer to the Guide to sources of information (Appendix 2) for further details about the content and access details for these resources.

Guidance notes				
The recovered checklist is divided into 2 loveley core	LEVEL 1: CORE RESOURCES	Searched	N/A	Unavail- able
The resource checklist is divided into 3 levels: core, recommended and additional. Alongside each	a) Minimum core resources:			abio
resource, tick the appropriate column: searched, not	Cochrane Library			
applicable (N/A) or unavailable.	Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) website for latest			
Level 1 – core resources	updates to CRD databases <ul> <li>MEDLINE OR PubMed</li> </ul>			
Effective searches across these sources will help	• EMBASE			
ensure that your literature review covers a significant proportion of published research.	<ul> <li>Subject specialist databases appropriate to research topic,</li> </ul>			
proportion of published research.	(contact your librarian with advice on identifying others) e.g.			
Consider how retrospective the search needs to be,	a) Allied & Complementary Medicine (AMED)			
e.g. from the time when a drug was introduced; also consider whether the coverage of your source is	b) Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts (ASSIA)*			
sufficiently retrospective and/or up-to-date.	c) British Nursing Index (BNI)			
	d) Social Care Online			
These resources should be searched as a minimum requirement unless they are not appropriate to your	e) Cumulative Index to Nursing & Allied Health Literature			
research topic. If there are time constraints, section	(CINAHL) f) DH-DATA			
a) minimum core resources should be given priority.	g) King's Fund			
How far you proceed beyond Level 1 will depend on:	h) OTseeker		—	_
The subject of your research	i) PeDRO			
<ul> <li>The type of studies you need to locate (e.g. RCTs)</li> <li>The time available</li> </ul>	j) PsycINFO			
Availability of sources	k) BIOSIS*			
How essential it is to ensure your research is not	I) Campbell Library			
duplicating research elsewhere	m) ChildData *			
* Resources marked with a * are not available	n) Education Resources Information Center (ERIC)			
through the NHS core content collection or freely available on the Internet. Access is through	<ul> <li>National Research Register &amp; Research Findings electronic Register (ReFeR)</li> </ul>			
subscription only, but may be accessible at your local	metaRegister of Controlled Trials (mRCT)			
health or academic library. See next page for continued guidance notes.	<ul> <li>National Library of Medicine ClinicalTrials.gov</li> </ul>			
eee noxt page for continued guidance notes.	Guidelines sites e.g. (NICE)			

• Websites of relevant associations, societies, centres of excellence, royal colleges & government bodies

1) Resource Checklist (continued)	b) Other core resources:	Searched	N/A	Unavail-
	Bandolier			able
	BioMed Central			
	Clinical Evidence *			
	Clinical Knowledge Summaries			
	<ul> <li>Evidence-based gateways e.g. Intute, NLH, TRIP database</li> </ul>			
	Drug Information			
	a) Drug and Therapeutics Bulletin *			
	b) National electronic Library for Medicines			
	c) National Prescribing Centre (including MeReC bulletins)			
	e) Pharmaceutical and device manufacturers			
Level 2: Recommended resources	LEVEL 2: RECOMMENDED RESOURCES			
Some of these resources may help to locate unpublished literature including theses & conference	<ul> <li>British Library's Electronic Table of Contents (Zetoc) – journals conference proceedings *</li> </ul>			
proceedings.	<ul> <li>Contacting centres of excellence and experts in the field</li> </ul>			
They are useful if you need a greater level of	<ul> <li>Dissertation Abstracts *</li> </ul>			
confidence that you are not duplicating other	<ul> <li>Index to Theses*</li> </ul>			
research. However, access to some of these sources may be limited.	<ul> <li>Library catalogues (to locate theses etc.)</li> </ul>			
may be innited.	<ul> <li>Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations (NDLTD)</li> </ul>			
* Resources marked with a * are not available	<ul> <li>References cited by retrieved research papers</li> </ul>			
through the NHS core content collection or freely available on the Internet. Access is through	<ul> <li>Science Citation Index (SCI) *</li> </ul>			
subscription only, but may be accessible at your local	<ul> <li>Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI) *</li> </ul>			
health or academic library.	<ul> <li>Web search engines, e.g. Google (contact your librarian for advice in focusing and evaluating the quality of your results)</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>World Health Organisation Regional Databases</li> </ul>			
Level 3: Additional resources	LEVEL 3 : ADDITIONAL RESOURCES			
These resources will further increase the comprehensiveness of your search. N.B. you may	Email / online discussion groups			
want to weigh up the likelihood of locating significantly new information before investing the time needed to explore these methods.	<ul> <li>Hand searching of key journals</li> </ul>			

### 2) Search Strategy Checklist

Г

Before carrying out your search you will need to identify your research question and plan your search strategy. You will already have identified your research question and broken it down into different concepts when completing the Search Planning Form.							
This section will help you identify a range of keywords relevant to your research question. It includes essential search techniques that should be used, wherever possible, to carry out an effective search of your chosen sources. Contact your librarian for further advice / training, if required.							
Guidance notes	a) Range of search terms	Used / Identified					
Often, initial searches will highlight other appropriate text words (words or phrases that might appear in the text of an article) and database subject headings. Therefore, it is useful to carry out a pilot search in each database and review your search terms (and if necessary, your research question and its scope) before carrying out your final searches.	<ul> <li>Identify a range of search terms for each of your identified search concepts, considering:</li> <li>Synonyms, e.g. <i>aged; elderly</i></li> <li>Acronyms, e.g. <i>AIDS, CHD</i> etc.</li> <li>Differences in terminology across national boundaries, e.g. <i>Accident and</i> <i>Emergency / Emergency Room</i></li> <li>Differences in spellings, e.g. <i>anaemia / anemia</i></li> <li>Old and new terminology, e.g. <i>mongolism / down syndrome</i></li> <li>Brand and generic names, e.g. <i>coumadin / warfarin</i></li> <li>Lay and medical terminology e.g. <i>stroke / cerebrovascular accident</i></li> </ul>						
Subject headings are used to index the content of most bibliographic databases (MEDLINE, EMBASE etc.) Example: heart attack is indexed under MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION	<ul> <li>b) Database subject headings</li> <li>Identify appropriate subject headings for each database used</li> </ul>						
The subject headings list used in MEDLINE is called MeSH (Medical Subject Headings). Look for the MeSH or Thesaurus options to identify the most appropriate subject heading for the keyword you have entered.	<ul> <li>Check coverage, scope and definition of each subject heading</li> <li>NB: Sometimes subject headings are not defined as you might expect, e.g. the MeSH heading "SURGERY" is used to index material on the <u>discipline</u> of surgery, not surgical <u>procedures</u> (this is indexed under SURGICAL PROCEDURES, OPERATIVE).</li> </ul>						
Correct use of subject headings improves the accuracy of your results and is essential to an effective search. See section 2c). See next page for continued guidance notes.							

2) <u>Search Strategy Checklist</u> (continued)	c) Search techniques	Used / Identified	N/A
Guidance Notes	Carry out separate searches for each individual concept and then combine at a later stage		
Most of these search techniques are for use with bibliographic databases, e.g. MEDLINE. If a particular search technique is not applicable, tick the box in the N/A	<i>Example search</i> 1. diabetic OR diabetes 2. retina OR eye 3. 1 AND 2		
column.	Use a combination of text words (free text) and subject heading searches		
<b>Truncation symbols</b> vary depending on the service provider. The most common	<ul> <li>Use appropriate truncation for text word searches where applicable, e.g. nurs\$ to find <i>nurse, nurses, nursing</i> etc.</li> </ul>		
are: \$ (e.g. databases provided by Dialog	<ul> <li>'Explode' (i.e. expand) database subject headings where appropriate to include narrower terms</li> </ul>		
DataStar such as MEDLINE, CINAHL etc.) * (e.g. Cochrane Library)	<ul> <li>Avoid restricting database subject heading searches using the 'major descriptors' or 'subheading' options in the first instance (to avoid missing relevant material)</li> </ul>		
Combining search results			
Use <b>AND</b> to combine two <u>different</u> concepts, e.g. diabetes AND insulin	Use AND / OR appropriately to combine results of separate searches		
(this will narrow your search – your	Avoid limiting your search to English language (to help prevent bias)		
results must include ALL your stated concepts)	<ul> <li>When searching websites or other electronic sources, use the 'Advanced' search option where available</li> </ul>		
Use <b>OR</b> to search for <u>similar</u> concepts, e.g. retina OR eye	<ul> <li>If appropriate, use search filters (pre-prepared search strategies) to identify particular types of research studies e.g. randomised controlled trials. Try PubMed's Clinical Queries or contact a librarian for advice.</li> </ul>		
(OR will widen your search - your results will include a MINIMUM OF ONE of your named concepts)	<ul> <li>Use the PubMed 'related articles' function and lists of references in CINAHL</li> </ul>		
<b>Updating searches</b> : this process can have many pitfalls. If you have a pre-prepared search that you wish to update or re-run at a later date, please contact your librarian.	<ul> <li>Check the references cited in any research / other relevant material retrieved</li> </ul>		

### 3) Search Documentation Checklist

Guidance Notes	Please ensure your research proposal includes:	Included
This checklist outlines the minimum required	a) Clearly stated research question	
to document the search process carried out in support of any research proposal.	b) Explanation of the scope of the research question	
All elements must be clearly documented for each resource searched.	c) For database searches, specification of the following:	
	Title of database searched (e.g. MEDLINE)	
See the <b>Guide to Sources of Information</b> in the Appendices for details of each resource listed in the checklist.	Name of the database provider (e.g. Dialog DataStar)	
	Date search conducted	
	Complete search strategy used.     Attach print outs of search strategies for each database used	
	• Dates covered by the search (e.g. 1990-present)	
	d) For specific websites (other than online databases above), specification of the following:	
	Name of the resource, (e.g. metaRegister of Controlled Trials)	
	Publisher of the resource (e.g. US National Library of Medicine)	
	Web address (URL)	
	Search terms used	
	Date accessed	
	e) For search engine searches, specification of the following:	
	<ul> <li>Search engines used (when searching across the Internet, e.g. Google, or searching within a website, e.g. National Library for Health)</li> </ul>	
	Web address	
	Search terms used	
	Date searched	

## Literature Search Protocols Feedback Form

If you have any comments or suggestions as to how this checklist can be improved, please complete and return this brief feedback form. Your feedback will be highly valuable in ensuring that this document evolves to meet your needs. Thank you in advance.

1. How useful have the following sections of the checklist been in planning and carrying out your literature review? (*Please select the most appropriate box in the scale*)

	not at all useful 1	2	3	4 highly useful
Search Planning Form				
Section 1: Resource checklist				
Section 2: Search Strategy checklist				
Section 3: Search documentation checklist				
Guide to sources of information				

2. How effective was the checklist in helping you to: (*Please select the most appropriate box in the scale*)

	not at all effective 1	2	3	4 highly effective
Plan your literature search? Document your literature search?				
Save time?				

If you ticked 1 or 2 for any of the above, please indicate your reasons why:

- 3. How could the checklist be made more effective for you?
- 4. Has a librarian given you any assistance in the use of the checklist? Yes D No D
- 5. If you have any further comments/suggestions, please note them in the space below:

Please return this form to: Nia Wyn Roberts, Librarian, Oxford University Health Care Library, Old Road Campus Library, Headington, Oxford OX3 7LF. Tel 01865 289409, email: <u>nia.roberts@hcl.ox.ac.uk</u>